

Winchester: Thinking Ahead, 21st July 2016

Summary of feedback

There were 27 completed forms. Q. 2 was not answered by everyone. Some but not all papers were named.

Q. 1 How valuable overall did you find this event?

Answers were from 1, not valuable, to 5, very valuable.

1: 0
2: 0
3: 3
4: 15
5: 9

Q. 2 If you had questions, were they well answered?

Answers were from 1, very badly, to 5, very well.

1: 0
2: 1
3: 3
4: 14
5: 3

Q. 3 How well did the format enable you to address the issues?

Answers were from 1, very badly, to 5, very well.

1: 0
2: 0
3: 2.5
4: 14.5
5: 10

Q. 4 How effective was/were the speakers?

Answers were from 1, very bad, to 5, very good.

1: 0
2: 0
3: 10
4: 15 with two extra mentions for George Ferguson and one for Nick Falk

There was praise for the organisation, the timing and the food. The only frequent criticism was to do with amplification and acoustics.

Would have liked more time for:

Thinking/talking through strategies for getting momentum towards traffic free centre.

Green issues or a sustainable Winchester why not focus on using what we have already and improving that for the benefit of future generations.

Planning discussion.

A break in the afternoon for the loo.

Other remarks:

Timely; essential.

Very impressive collection. A lot of positive energy.

It's getting people who need to be talking, talking.

Do it again with transport.

Big hard questions won't be answered in a day.

Well done; got people thinking and trying to see other people's ideas or views.

Big topic, big conference.